National Park Service – Intermountain Region

Survey of Monitoring Needs for Adaptive Management

The purpose of this survey is to learn from you—park superintendents and resource managers—how IMR I&M networks can best provide useful and understandable information for park management. In order to do that, we want to know what information you need and how you will use it to make decisions. The collective results will be presented at the IMR Workshop "Integration of Monitoring Results into Park Management" in Tucson, AZ on December 6-8, 2005, and summarized in a report that will be available by December 20, 2005.

It is important to us to provide you with the highest quality information possible. Please take a few minutes to complete this survey, and return it (via email, fax, or mail) by **November 18** to: Andy Hubbard, Inventory and Monitoring Program Coordinator, Sonoran Desert Network, 7660 E. Broadway Blvd., #303, Tucson, AZ 85710, andy-hubbard@nps.gov, phone (520-546-1607x1), fax (520-546-7601). If you have any questions, please call 520-546-1607x1 or contact your network coordinator.

1. Before a decision becomes necessary, a resource management issue needs to be identified. In your experience, how are issues identified? (*circle the most appropriate answer for each*)

a. My supervisor alerts me to an issue								
•	ALWAYS	OFTEN	SOMETIMES	RARELY	NEVER			
b. I re	b. I recognize an issue or a staff member alerts me to an issue							
	ALWAYS	OFTEN	SOMETIMES	RARELY	NEVER			
т	1 '1	1.	. 1					
c. Issi			nanagement plans					
	ALWAYS	OFTEN	SOMETIMES	RARELY	NEVER			
1.7	. 1	1 1	11 1					
d. Issi	ues are identifie							
	ALWAYS	OFTEN	SOMETIMES	RARELY	NEVER			
_								
e. Issi	ues are identifie	ed at the national	ıl level					
	ALWAYS	OFTEN	SOMETIMES	RARELY	NEVER			
f. A p	ark user makes	a complaint						
	ALWAYS	OFTEN	SOMETIMES	RARELY	NEVER			
g. An environmental group makes a complaint								
	ALWAYS	OFTEN	SOMETIMES	RARELY	NEVER			
h. A stakeholder group makes a complaint								
	ALWAYS	OFTEN	SOMETIMES	RARELY	NEVER			
	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	OI ILII			. ,			

i. Research scientists ALWAYS		y park alert me to a SOMETIMES	n issue RARELY	NEVER
j. An issue is identifi ALWAYS	led in the news OFTEN	media (e.g., newsp SOMETIMES	paper, TV, intervie RARELY	w) NEVER
2. I find that this gro decision to be made		-		action to be taken or
park us environ NPS W the med	termountain Re er/stakeholder mental group ashington Offi lia	group		
•	-native invasiv	•	•	he most threatened due order the relevant steps
go to exsolicit to make a hold a prissue a refer to confer when co	echnical assistated decision oublic meeting press release expark data on in with a team of expension of the with neighboringlease specify:	ative invasive spec ance from the Inter xplaining the issue nvasive species in-park specialists e Network Technic ng land owners to g	mountain Regiona al Committee get a regional persp	
4. What technical inpeing most importan		oortant in decision i	making? (indicate	the top three with I
data fro	om recent studiom long-term st ring data	es, not necessarily tudies, not necessar	rily from the park	

scientific literature expert opinion other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: """ 5. In general, what sources of technical information have you found to be the most relevant? (rank in order of priority with 1 being most relevant) """ """ """ """ """ """ """ """ "	repor	ts from other places or generally on the topic
other, please specify: universities U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division NPS Intermountain Regional Office environmental nonprofits (for example, The Nature Conservancy) Network Technical Committee stakeholder groups or other special interest groups research institutions (other than universities) internal park data other, please specify: 6 & 7. Case Study: In an annual report of monitoring results for your parks, the network staff documents a recent sharp increase in the abundance of exotic plant species X. The report and presentation present detailed status and trend data from a technical (scientific) perspective. 6. What additional information would you expect to receive from the network staff in the report? (check all that apply) comprehensive non-technical explanations of the statistical and ecological issues results placed in the broader context of similar land units with species X. results placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or trigger points for species X encroachment in your ecoregion. results placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park network recommendations as to whether management practices for controlling species X. briefing statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the issue to others. other, please specify: other, please specify:		
other, please specify:		
5. In general, what sources of technical information have you found to be the most relevant? (rank in order of priority with 1 being most relevant) — universities — U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division — NPS Intermountain Regional Office — environmental nonprofits (for example, The Nature Conservancy) — Network Technical Committee — stakeholder groups or other special interest groups — research institutions (other than universities) — internal park data — other, please specify: ————————————————————————————————————	other	please specify:
universities U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division NPS Intermountain Regional Office environmental nonprofits (for example, The Nature Conservancy) Network Technical Committee stakeholder groups or other special interest groups research institutions (other than universities) internal park data other, please specify: 6 & 7. Case Study: In an annual report of monitoring results for your parks, the network staff documents a recent sharp increase in the abundance of exotic plant species X. The report and presentation present detailed status and trend data from a technical (scientific) perspective. 6. What additional information would you expect to receive from the network staff in the report? (check all that apply) comprehensive non-technical explanations of the statistical and ecological issues results placed in the broader context of similar land units with species X. results placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or trigger points for species X encroachment in your ecoregion. results placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park network recommendations as to whether management action should be considered network recommendations as to whether management practices for controlling species X. briefing statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the issue to others. other, please specify: general management plan	other	please specify:
universities U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division NPS Intermountain Regional Office environmental nonprofits (for example, The Nature Conservancy) Network Technical Committee stakeholder groups or other special interest groups research institutions (other than universities) internal park data other, please specify: 6 & 7. Case Study: In an annual report of monitoring results for your parks, the network staff documents a recent sharp increase in the abundance of exotic plant species X. The report and presentation present detailed status and trend data from a technical (scientific) perspective. 6. What additional information would you expect to receive from the network staff in the report? (check all that apply) comprehensive non-technical explanations of the statistical and ecological issues results placed in the broader context of similar land units with species X. results placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or trigger points for species X encroachment in your ecoregion. results placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park network recommendations as to whether management action should be considered network recommendations as to specific management practices for controlling species X. briefing statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the issue to others. other, please specify: general management plan	5. In general, what	sources of technical information have you found to be the most relevant?
	(rank in order of p	riority with 1 being most relevant)
NPS Intermountain Regional Officeenvironmental nonprofits (for example, The Nature Conservancy)		
environmental nonprofits (for example, The Nature Conservancy) Network Technical Committee stakeholder groups or other special interest groups research institutions (other than universities) internal park data other, please specify: 6 & 7. Case Study: In an annual report of monitoring results for your parks, the network staff documents a recent sharp increase in the abundance of exotic plant species X. The report and presentation present detailed status and trend data from a technical (scientific) perspective. 6. What additional information would you expect to receive from the network staff in the report? (check all that apply) comprehensive non-technical explanations of the statistical and ecological issues results placed in the broader context of similar land units with species X. results placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or trigger points for species X encroachment in your ecoregion. results placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park network recommendations as to whether management action should be considered network recommendations as to specific management practices for controlling species X. briefing statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the issue to others. other, please specify: general management plan		
Network Technical Committeestakeholder groups or other special interest groups research institutions (other than universities) internal park data other, please specify:		
stakeholder groups or other special interest groups research institutions (other than universities) internal park data other, please specify: 6 & 7. Case Study: In an annual report of monitoring results for your parks, the network staff documents a recent sharp increase in the abundance of exotic plant species X. The report and presentation present detailed status and trend data from a technical (scientific) perspective. 6. What additional information would you expect to receive from the network staff in the report? (check all that apply) comprehensive non-technical explanations of the statistical and ecological issues results placed in the broader context of similar land units with species X. results placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or trigger points for species X encroachment in your ecoregion. results placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park network recommendations as to whether management action should be considered network recommendations as to specific management practices for controlling species X. briefing statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the issue to others. other, please specify: general management plan		
research institutions (other than universities) internal park data other, please specify: 6 & 7. Case Study: In an annual report of monitoring results for your parks, the network staff documents a recent sharp increase in the abundance of exotic plant species X. The report and presentation present detailed status and trend data from a technical (scientific) perspective. 6. What additional information would you expect to receive from the network staff in the report? (check all that apply) comprehensive non-technical explanations of the statistical and ecological issues results placed in the broader context of similar land units with species X. results placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or trigger points for species X encroachment in your ecoregion. results placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park network recommendations as to whether management action should be considered network recommendations as to specific management practices for controlling species X. briefing statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the issue to others. other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: general management action is warranted for species X? (rank in order of priority with 1 being most relevant; use N/A if information does not exist) general management plan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
internal park data other, please specify: 6 & 7. Case Study: In an annual report of monitoring results for your parks, the network staff documents a recent sharp increase in the abundance of exotic plant species X. The report and presentation present detailed status and trend data from a technical (scientific) perspective. 6. What additional information would you expect to receive from the network staff in the report? (check all that apply) comprehensive non-technical explanations of the statistical and ecological issues results placed in the broader context of similar land units with species X. results placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or trigger points for species X encroachment in your ecoregion. results placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park network recommendations as to whether management action should be considered network recommendations as to specific management practices for controlling species X. briefing statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the issue to others. other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: general management plan	resea	rch institutions (other than universities)
other, please specify:		
6 & 7. Case Study: In an annual report of monitoring results for your parks, the network staff documents a recent sharp increase in the abundance of exotic plant species <i>X</i> . The report and presentation present detailed status and trend data from a technical (scientific) perspective. 6. What additional information would you expect to receive from the network staff in the report? (check all that apply) — comprehensive non-technical explanations of the statistical and ecological issues results placed in the broader context of similar land units with species <i>X</i> . — results placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or trigger points for species <i>X</i> encroachment in your ecoregion. — results placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park network recommendations as to whether management action should be considered network recommendations as to specific management practices for controlling species <i>X</i> . — briefing statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the issue to others. — other, please specify: — other of priority with 1 being most relevant; use N/A if information does not exist) — general management plan		
results placed in the broader context of similar land units with species <i>X</i> . results placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or trigger points for species <i>X</i> encroachment in your ecoregion. results placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park network recommendations as to whether management action should be considered network recommendations as to specific management practices for controlling species <i>X</i> . briefing statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the issue to others. other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: other, please specify: general management plan		
7. What information would be the most relevant for deciding if and what kind of management action is warranted for species <i>X</i> ? (<i>rank in order of priority with 1 being most relevant; use N/A if information does not exist</i>) general management plan	result result trigge result netwo netwo specio briefi issue	s placed in the broader context of similar land units with species <i>X</i> . s placed in the context of known (or hypothesized) ecological thresholds or er points for species <i>X</i> encroachment in your ecoregion. s placed in the context of desired future conditions (if identified) for your park ork recommendations as to whether management action should be considered ork recommendations as to specific management practices for controlling es <i>X</i> . ng statements or Powerpoint presentations that will help you communicate the to others.
7. What information would be the most relevant for deciding if and what kind of management action is warranted for species <i>X</i> ? (<i>rank in order of priority with 1 being most relevant; use N/A if information does not exist</i>) general management plan		Diease specify
	other other	please specify:
	7. What information action is warranted if information does	on would be the most relevant for deciding if and what kind of management a for species X? (rank in order of priority with 1 being most relevant; use N/A is not exist)

	enabling legislation
	NPS policies on invasive species
	expert opinion (yours or others within the park)
	expert opinion (external to the park)
	reports on management of species X from similar neighboring lands
	descriptions of desired future conditions and ecological thresholds for your park
	more specific planning documents (e.g., vegetation management plan)
8. Wh	at kinds of information do you regularly use in decision making? (check all that apply)
	raw data
	reports from studies conducted by universities
	scientific journals and other literature
	park management documents (such as GMP and RMP)
	executive summaries
	presentations and briefings
	annual status reports for resource x
	ten-year trend reports for resource x
	resource education and interpretation materials
	other, please specify:
	other, please specify:
э. W II	at kinds of information would you like to use in decision making? (check all that apply) raw data reports from studies conducted by universities scientific journal articles and other literature park management documents (such as GMP and RMP) executive summaries presentations and briefings annual status reports for resource x ten-year trend reports for resource x
	other, please specify:
	other, please specify:
	hat kinds of information would you like to get from your Inventory and Monitoring ork? (check all that apply) raw data
	reports from studies conducted by universities
	scientific journals and other literature
	technical reports
	executive summaries
	resource education and interpretation materials
	status reports
	1

rk?

Tell us about yourself. Your answers will remain anonymous, but for analysis purposes, we would like to know a little about you. 15. How informed are you about your Inventory and Monitoring Network? (circle the most *appropriate response*) (I am involved in the development of the network) VERY **INFORMED** (I am familiar with the scope and approach, but not directly **SOMEWHAT** INFORMED involved) **SLIGHTLY** (I have heard of it, but am not familiar with all aspects) **INFORMED** NOT (I have little or no information or understanding of the network) **INFORMED** 16. I am a: (check one) Superintendent Resource Manager 17. I have been an employee of the National Park Service for: (check one) ____ 20 years or more ____ 11 - 19 years ___ 5 - 10 years ____ 1 - 4 years less than 1 year 18. I have 5 or more years of experience or education in the following areas: (check all that apply) ____ botany/plant ecology ____ wildlife and/or fisheries biology ____ physical sciences ____ cultural resources ____ visitor services

____ interpretation, environmental education

____ other, please specify: _____

____ visitor and resource protection

____ park administration

____ maintenance